Fgh 789

Essay 1

004

Document Details

Submission ID
trn:oid:::3618:123204770

Submission Date
Nov 29, 2025, 8:13 PM PST

Download Date
Nov 29, 2025, 8:15 PM PST

File Name
Essay 1.docx

File Size
20.2 KB

6 Pages

1,057 Words

6,525 Characters

1% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text

Match Groups

1 Not Cited or Quoted 1%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks

1 Missing Quotations 1%
Matches that are still very similar to source material

0 Missing Citation 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation

0 Cited and Quoted 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

0% Internet sources
0% Publications
1% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Match Groups

- **1** Not Cited or Quoted 1%
  Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- **1** Missing Quotations 1%
  Matches that are still very similar to source material
- **0** Missing Citation 0%
  Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- **0** Cited and Quoted 0%
  Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

0% Internet sources
0% Publications
1% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1 Student papers

Wentworth Institute on 2025-10-23 <1%

2 Student papers

California State University, Sacramento on 2023-12-12 <1%

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Global Plastic-Reduction Policies: Why Some Countries Succeed While Others Fail

Student Name

Course Name

Department Name

Date

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Global Plastic-Reduction Policies: Why Some Countries Succeed While Others Fail

Plastic pollution is one of the most persistent problems in the world despite the implementation of numerous policies to eliminate it.Policies include bans, charges, and extended producer responsibility (EPR) systems. Some countries have recorded significant declines in single-use plastic, but some others are still struggling despite such legislation. Such disparities reveal disproportionate implementation, disparities in infrastructure, and structural constraints that determine policy outcomes. This essay argues that plastic-reduction policies with coherent design, robust enforcement, and systemic waste management are most effective.

The key factor in the effectiveness of plastic policy is the extent to which the laws are coherently designed and well-defined. Omondi and Asari (2023) demonstrate that African nations with scopes of appropriate regulations, particularly those that go beyond the ban on plastic bags to the broader single-use plastics (SUP) systems, record more significant decreases. Their survey of 48 policies in 39 countries showed that a lot of regulations fail due to their loose classification of the products, inability to define the specifics, or failure to cover packaging consistently. These ambiguous terms and exemptions provide loopholes that manufacturers and consumers exploit to evade limitations, allowing the distribution of prohibited or unregulated products. Conversely, nations that embrace clear product catalogs, map out biodegradability prerequisites, and guarantee harmony among policy tools are better placed to reduce plastic waste.

Besides good policy design, it is also important to have good enforcement mechanisms and regulatory accountability. As Tumu et al. (2023) note, countries that have strong extended

producer responsibility (EPR) frameworks, i.e., where producers must legally dispose of the waste of their products, are likely to have a higher recycling rate and less reliance on landfills. These regimes transfer financial and operational costs of governments to industry and encourage producers to redesign packaging, invest in recycling, and reduce the waste. This indicates that in cases of EPR policies combined with landfill prohibitions or high disposal prices, compliance is enhanced and illegal dumping is reduced. In the absence of such enforcement, even the best policies to reduce plastic will turn out to be more symbolic than efficient.

The third pillar of a successful reduction of plastic is the availability of good waste management and recycling set-ups. Tumu et al. (2023) argue that even well-structured policies will not be effective in countries that do not have enough facilities to collect, sort, and process plastic waste. This indicates that countries that have installed coherent waste-management systems, i.e., effective collection systems and recycling systems that are technologically advanced, are much more capable of implementing policy in practical environmental effects. Good infrastructure is also beneficial in the transition to sustainable substitutes to lessen dependence on unregulated substitutes. As a result, infrastructure construction should be developed simultaneously with the legislation to create a lasting and efficient system for plastic reduction.

Contrastingly, policy failure is a common phenomenon encountered in most countries due to the lack of these pillars. The ineffective policy design that involves loopholes and a lack of clarity is an evident setback. According to Omondi and Asari (2023), undefined groups of SUPs and wide exemptions and minimal coverage of products create in-policy and out-of-policy waste streams in Africa, which harm progress. This means that despite the high adoption rates of SUP bans, there remains a serious challenge of plastic pollution in the countries that have such

bans. The findings indicate that policy adoption in itself cannot be considered a construct valid indicator of effectiveness, but the relative effectiveness of policy depends on the quality and coherence of the policy design.

The other major obstacle to successful plastic reduction is symbolic bans, policies that sound tough on paper but do not lead to significant change because of structural and contextual constraints. According to Graham (2024), this issue is referred to as plastic policy hypocrisy in the Eastern Caribbean, with governments imposing single-use plastic (SUP) bans and still importing most goods packaged in plastic. Since these small island developing states have no control over the upstream supply chains and have limited infrastructure for recycling these products, bans tend to target consumer-facing products instead of the higher volumes of imported packaging. Such a discrepancy between policy goals and material realities leads to low enforcement, low alternatives, and unintended effects of substitution, which shows the disconnect between ambition and capacity.

There are also economic and infrastructural limitations that affect the effectiveness of the policies, particularly in developing countries. The states of the Caribbean islands have limited access to landmass, insufficient waste-processing plants, and a high reliance on imported products, which complicates the implementation of wide-range waste-management plans (Graham, 2024). In the absence of financial resources, technical knowledge, or regional cooperation, policymakers find it hard to replace SUPs with more sustainable ones or introduce successful recycling programs.

In different parts of the world, the comparison of the high-performing and low-performing countries reveals that successful strategies of reducing the use of plastics demand more than just bans. The consistent reduction in waste is usually achieved in countries that have

robust extended producer responsibility (EPR), landfill prohibitions, and coherent legislation (Tumu et al., 2023). Conversely, the example of African and Caribbean states indicates the problem of warped policy aspiration due to loopholes, ineffective infrastructure, and economic relationships (Graham, 2024). These inequalities underscore the idea that the ability to reduce plastics is not just a matter of policy adoption but also governance capacity and larger structural circumstances.

Conclusively, global plastic-reduction policies are not equally effective due to the fact that some countries have the institutional and infrastructural capacity required to design clearly, enforce it well, and have a way of integrating waste systems, whilst others are hampered by structural constraints. A sustainable, lifecycle-focused, and coordinated approach to international assistance is needed to produce sustainable and fair plastic reduction.

References

Graham, R. E. (2024). *Plastic policy hypocrisies: Evaluating the efficacy of bans and alternatives in the Eastern Caribbean*. Frontiers in Sustainability, 5, 1439958. https://doi.org/10.3389/frsus.2024.1439958

Omondi, I., & Asari, M. (2023). *Impact of policy design on plastic waste reduction in Africa*. Sustainability, 16(1), 4. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16010004

Tumu, K., Vorst, K., & Curtzwiler, G. (2023). *Global plastic waste recycling and extended producer responsibility laws*. Journal of Environmental Management, 348, 119242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119242