

## **Vaccine Equity & Hesitancy**

Name

Institution Name

Course Name

Instructor Name

Date

## Vaccine Equity & Hesitancy

During the COVID-19 pandemic, vaccine disparities and vaccine hesitancy became a twofold and integrative issue that has predetermined the results of the population health worldwide. Vaccine equity is access to vaccines for all populations irrespective of wealth or geography, while vaccine hesitancy is the delay in the acceptance or rejection of vaccines, although they are available. These challenges have not only pointed to the existence of logistical differences in immunization programs but also revealed deep social and psychological impediments to the best uptake. This essay states that it is necessary to practice vaccine equity and hesitancy simultaneously to effectively contain a pandemic and decrease morbidity and mortality among different populations.

Inequality in vaccine access has been a longstanding issue, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICS), where access to COVID-19 vaccines was vastly worse than in high-income areas. Studies on worldwide COVID-19 vaccination initiatives indicate that the patterns of acceptance and coverage differed significantly across nations, and LMICs tended to have lower rates of vaccination because of the lack of supply and structural issues (Arce et al., 2021). According to a global survey, about 75.2 percent of participants were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccines, although reluctance was closely associated with the lack of trust in the safety, effectiveness, and the government, which were also intensified by the disparities in access and information (Lazarus et al., 2022). This experience has shown that despite the theoretical accessibility of vaccines, inequitable coverage can be subverted by shortages in supply chains, economic inequalities, and the availability of information.

Demographic and cultural factors are also a source of variation in vaccine hesitancy that further complicates the achievement of equitable vaccination. Systematic reviews show that in

high-income countries such as the United States, vaccine acceptance rates vary between 12% and 91.4% with markedly lower rates among certain groups specific to the Black/African American population and pregnant or breastfeeding women (Yasmin et al., 2021). Some causes of hesitancy include mistrust in health institutions over time, fears of potential side effects, and misinformation, all of which are compounded in communities that have experienced negative experiences with healthcare systems. This relative hesitancy highlights the point that the process of vaccine acceptance cannot be expected to have the same patterns over all groups of people and instead is profoundly influenced by social determinants of health.

Moreover, the overlap between vaccine equity and hesitancy is particularly acute in vulnerable and marginalized groups with restricted access and increased distrust. A survey in the United States found that Black participants and Hispanic participants were much more likely to report COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy than White non-Hispanic participants, with Black people having odds of hesitancy three times higher than White people (Nguyen et al., 2021). Inequality in these communities leads to unequal access to opportunities and associated distrust, which in turn exacerbates a vicious cycle of lack of infrastructure, insufficient outreach, and misinformation, which in turn contribute to suboptimal vaccination outcomes, fueling health inequity.

To adequately respond to vaccine hesitancy and inequity, multi-level strategies need to be employed that act simultaneously at community and health system levels. It has been demonstrated that this can be accomplished using credible local messengers, such as community leaders, faith-based representatives, and healthcare workers, to change vaccination perceptions to acceptance, particularly in rural or underserved areas, where the degree of distrust in formal authorities is significant (Reichelt et al., 2023). This plan is used to complement the policy

measures that will expand vaccine chain distributions, affordability, and improve health communication. The challenge of hesitancy and access can be surmounted through structural equity interventions alongside strategic engagement and culturally explicit messages in public health.

In conclusion, vaccine equity and vaccine hesitancy cannot be viewed as different aspects; they are auxiliary elements that interfere with the successful execution of immunization programs. Harmonious health outcomes in vaccination can only be attained by taking care of the psychosocial determinants of vaccine acceptance, plus access barriers. A robust distribution infrastructure, good communications, and community-based practice will further realize a more comprehensive coverage of the vaccines and make the community more resilient to new community threats.

## References

Arce, J. S. S., Warren, S. S., Meriggi, N. F., Scacco, A., McMurry, N., Voors, M., Syunyaev, G., Malik, A. A., Aboutajdine, S., Adeojo, O., Anigo, D., Armand, A., Asad, S., Atyera, M., Augsburg, B., Awasthi, M., Ayesiga, G. E., Bancalari, A., Nyqvist, M. B., ... Omer, S. B. (2021). COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and hesitancy in low- and middle-income countries. *Nature Medicine*, 27(8), 1385. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01454-y>

Lazarus, J. V., Wyka, K., White, T. M., Picchio, C. A., Rabin, K., Ratzan, S. C., Parsons Leigh, J., Hu, J., & El-Mohandes, A. (2022). Revisiting COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy around the world using data from 23 countries in 2021. *Nature Communications*, 13(1), 3801. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31441-x>

Nguyen, L. H., Joshi, A. D., Drew, D. A., Merino, J., Ma, W., Lo, C.-H., Kwon, S., Wang, K., Graham, M. S., Polidori, L., Menni, C., Sudre, C. H., Anyane-Yeboa, A., Astley, C. M., Warner, E. T., Hu, C. Y., Selvachandran, S., Davies, R., Nash, D., ... Chan, A. T. (2021). Racial and ethnic differences in COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and uptake. *medRxiv: The Preprint Server for Health Sciences*, 2021.02.25.21252402. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.25.21252402>

Reichelt, M., Cullen, J. P., Mayer-Fried, S., Russell, H. A., Bennett, N. M., & Yousefi-Nooraie, R. (2023). Addressing COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in rural communities: A case study in engaging trusted messengers to pivot and plan. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1059067>

Yasmin, F., Najeeb, H., Moeed, A., Naeem, U., Asghar, M. S., Chughtai, N. U., Yousaf, Z., Seboka, B. T., Ullah, I., Lin, C.-Y., & Pakpour, A. H. (2021). COVID-19 Vaccine

Hesitancy in the United States: A Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 9, 770985. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.770985>